# HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL

# PLANNING COMMITTEE

# 21 SEPTEMBER 2021 AT 6.30 PM

PRESENT: Cllr MJ Crooks - Chairman Cllr DJ Findlay – Vice-Chairman

Cllr CM Allen, Cllr RG Allen, Cllr CW Boothby, Cllr SL Bray, Cllr DS Cope, Cllr WJ Crooks, Cllr REH Flemming, Cllr A Furlong, Cllr SM Gibbens, Cllr KWP Lynch, Cllr LJ Mullaney, Cllr RB Roberts, Cllr MC Sheppard-Bools (for Cllr MC Sheppard-Bools), Cllr H Smith and Cllr BR Walker

Also in attendance: Councillor DC Bill MBE, Councillor MA Cook and Councillor MR Lay

Officers in attendance: Matthew Bowers, Rhiannon Hill, Rebecca Owen, Michael Rice, Nicola Smith and Harry White

## 154 APOLOGIES AND SUBSTITUTIONS

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillor Hodgkins, with the substitution of Councillor Sheppard-Bools authorized in accordance with council procedure rule 10.

### 155 <u>MINUTES</u>

It was moved by Councillor Findlay, seconded by Councillor W Crooks and

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the minutes of the meeting held on 17 August be confirmed and signed by the chairman.

## 156 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillors Flemming, Lynch and Walker declared that they were members of Burbage Parish Council's Planning Committee which had considered application 21/00632/CONDIT but they had not voted at the meeting. Councillor Walker also stated that he had been asked to call the item to the Planning Committee and had commented on it, but came to this meeting with an open mind and would listen to the presentation and debate before coming to a decision.

Councillor W Crooks stated that he knew a relative of the objector who was speaking on applications 20/01357/FUL and 20/01378/LBC but this did not constitute a personal interest.

### 157 DECISIONS DELEGATED AT PREVIOUS MEETING

It was reported that all decisions delegated at the previous meeting had been issued.

## 158 <u>20/01357/FUL - THISTLE COTTAGE, 8 MARKET PLACE, MARKET</u> <u>BOSWORTH</u>

Application for replacement velux and dormer windows on main roof and roof alterations to rear extension including velux windows (retrospective).

This application was presented and debated with the following application (20/001378/LBC).

An objector, the applicant, a representative of Market Bosworth Parish Council and the ward councillor spoke on both applications.

Notwithstanding the officer's recommendation that permission be granted, some members felt that the proposal was contrary to policy DM10 as it didn't enhance the property. It was moved by Councillor R Allen that permission be refused. In the absence of a seconder, the motion was not put.

It was subsequently moved by Councillor Bray and seconded by Councillor R Allen that the application be deferred for discussions with the applicant with the objective of achieving a proposal that enhanced the property.

Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

#### RESOLVED -

- (i) the application be deferred to the following meeting for discussion with the applicant;
- (ii) the relevant ward councillor be included in the abovementioned discussions.

### 159 <u>20/01378/LBC - THISTLE COTTAGE 8 MARKET PLACE MARKET BOSWORTH</u>

Application for replacement velux and dormer windows and roof alterations to rear extension including velux window, chimney alteration and internal alterations (retrospective).

This application was presented and debated with the previous application (20/001357/FUL).

An objector, the applicant, a representative of Market Bosworth Parish Council and the ward councillor spoke on both applications.

It was moved by Councillor Bray and seconded by Councillor R Allen that the application be deferred for discussions with the applicant with the objective of achieving a proposal that enhanced the property.

Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

#### RESOLVED -

- (iii) the application be deferred to the following meeting for discussion with the applicant;
- (iv) the relevant ward councillor be included in the abovementioned discussions.

#### 160 <u>21/00632/CONDIT - 339 RUGBY ROAD, BURBAGE</u>

Application for variation of condition 2 (plans) attached to planning permission 19/00413/FUL.

An objector and the applicant spoke on this applications.

It was moved by Councillor Walker and seconded by Councillor Lynch that the application be deferred to request further plans. After advice from officers, this motion was withdrawn.

It was subsequently moved by Councillor Bray and seconded by Walker that the application be deferred for a site visit. Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the application be deferred for a site visit.

#### 161 <u>20/01324/CONDIT - 128 MAIN STREET, MARKFIELD</u>

Application for variation of conditions 2 and 4 of planning permission 14/01082/FUL to remove the granite plinth from front elevations and the erection of canopies above front doors (part retrospective).

The ward councillor spoke on this application.

Notwithstanding the officer's recommendation that permission be granted, members felt that the application failed to enhance and complement surrounding properties due to the absence of the stone plinth and was therefore contrary to policy DM10 of the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD. It was moved by Councillor R Allen and seconded by Councillor Bray that permission be refused for these reasons.

Following further discussion, Councillor Bray moved an amendment that an additional reason for refusal be added in that the proposal was contrary to policy DM12 as it was detrimental to the character and appearance of the conservation area. This amendment was supported by Councillor Allen as mover of the original motion.

Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – permission be refused as the absence of the previously approved stone plinth and the historical plaque would result in a development that fails to enhance and complement the surrounding properties and the character and appearance of the conservation areas. The application would therefore be contrary to policies DM10 and DM12 of the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD.

## 162 <u>21/00656/OUT - STOKE FIELDS FARM, HINCKLEY ROAD, STOKE GOLDING</u>

It was noted that this application had been deferred.

### 163 <u>21/00639/LBC - WAR MEMORIAL, ARGENTS MEAD, HINCKLEY</u>

Application for repair/replacement works to stone war memorial: replacement of carved lettering within existing stone facia; removal and replacement of stone panels and surrounding pointing located either side of memorial's central feature.

It was moved by Councillor Mullaney, seconded by Councillor Findlay and

### RESOLVED -

- (i) listed building consent be granted subject to the conditions contained in the officer's report;
- (ii) the Planning Manager be granted delegated authority to determine the final detail of planning conditions;
- (iii) the Planning Manager be granted delegated authority to issue permission subject to conditions if no further comments are received by 23.09.21. If valid objections are received within that period, the report would be brought back to the Planning Committee.

Councillor Roberts abstained from voting on this item as he had been absent for the debate.

## 164 <u>21/00775/FUL - 102 DRUID STREET, HINCKLEY</u>

Application for change of use from six person house in multiple occupation (class C4) to eight person house in multiple occupation (sui generis), roof light.

Notwithstanding the officer's recommendation that permission be granted, members felt that the loss of amenity space for existing residents was unacceptable and the size and standard of accommodation would have an adverse impact on future residents. It was felt it was therefore contrary to policy DM10 of the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD. Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – permission be refused as the size and standard of the accommodation would have an adverse impact on the amenity of future residents and was therefore contrary to policy DM10 of the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD.

### 165 APPEALS PROGRESS

Members received an update on appeals. The report was noted.

(The Meeting closed at 8.15 pm)

CHAIRMAN